

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,667	002,667 10/31/2001		Darryl Cynthia Moore	36968/262347	2447	
	7590	06/07/2004		EXAMINER		
Scott P. Zin		n PLLC	PARDO, THUY N			
	P.O. Box 3822 Cary, NC 27519			ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2175	1	
				DATE MAILED: 06/07/2004	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

			11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/002,667	MOORE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thuy Pardo	2175	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addr	ess
· ·	/ IC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTH	(C) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 O	ctober 2001 and 12 February 20	<u>02</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National St	tage
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) DNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03</u> .	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
	*/ Lat = *****		

Application/Control Number: 10/002,667 Page 2

Art Unit: 2175

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's Application filed on October 31, 2001 and IDS filed on February 12, 2002 has been reviewed.

2. Claims 1-32 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2175

3. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being as being anticipated by

Schneck et al. (Hereinafter "Schneck") US Patent No. 6,208,986.

As to claim 1, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed, comprising:

a first reference to a first electronic directory, wherein said first electronic directory

comprises a standard electronic directory format [LDAP directory, col. 2, lines 17-31], and

a second reference to a second electronic directory, wherein said second electronic

directory comprises a non-standard electronic directory [directory in HTML via Internet or

Intranet, col. 2, lines 19-22]; and

a searching component in communication with said database [col. 3, lines 48-50; col. 4,

lines 35-46].

As to claim 2, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches a location of an electronic directory [col. 3, lines 65-67]; a format descriptor of said

electronic directory [col. 7, lines 40-57]; and a search descriptor of said electronic directory

[template tag, col. 7, lines 58 to col. 8, lines 7].

As to claim 3, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches that said searching component comprises an executant on a web application server [col.

3, lines 55-65].

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 4, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further teaches a directory entry display interface [col. 4, lines 19-22].

As to claim 5, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further teaches that a single executant comprises said searching component and said directory entry display interface [col. 3, lines 48 to col. 4, lines 24].

As to claim 6, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further teaches a query format converter [inherent in the system in order to data from a wide variety of data sources into a directory, col. 2, lines 8-11].

As to claim 7, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further teaches that said query format converter comprises a hypertext transfer protocol (HTTP) query string to lightweight directory access protocol (LDAP) query converter [col. 14, lines 12-45].

As to claim 8, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further teaches a display format converter [inherent in the system in order to illustrating the corresponding search request output, col. 14, lines 12-48].

As to claim 9, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further teaches performing a search of said electronic directory using said search criterion [col. 5, lines 29-31] and receiving a result of said search. [col. 14, lines 35-37].

Art Unit: 2175

As to claims 10-12, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis

above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

As to claim 13, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches accepting a selection of said result in said contact search user interface; and in response

to said selection, creating an email message addressed to an email address associated with said

selection [col. 1, lines 26-40].

As to claim 14, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches that after the step of searching said database, the method further comprises finding a

directory [col. 2, line 8-11].

As to claim 15, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches searching for a directory of contacts and inserting a record corresponding to said

directory in said database [col. 2, lines 1-16].

As to claim 16, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches converting said search criterion into a format supported by said electronic directory [col.

2, lines 1-16].

Page 5

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 17, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches billing for the use of said service [inherent in the system].

As to claim 18, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches that said billing comprises billing a fixed amount per search [inherent in the system].

As to claim 19, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches that said billing comprises billing a fixed amount per time period [inherent in the

system].

As to claim 20, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches that said search comprises a query selected from the group consisting of a fragment-

matching query; a whole-word-matching query; and an exact-match query [inherent in the

system].

As to claim 21, Schneck teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Schneck further

teaches that said displaying further comprises filtering said result based on said search criterion

[col. 5, lines 26-36; col. 14, lines 37-45].

Page 6

Art Unit: 2175

As to claims 22-32, all limitations of these claims have been addressed in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

Page 7

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1091. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows: (703) 872-9306 (Official Communication) and/or:

(703) 746-5616 (Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to then on occasions).

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

5. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Art Unit: 2175

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

May 28, 2004

THUY N. PARDO